	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/660,850	ARMSTRONG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TALIA CRAWLEY	3687
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) TALIA CRAWLEY.	(3)	
(2) Marisa Dubuc.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>04/06/2010</u> .		
Type: a ☑ Telephonic b ☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal (copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>claims of record</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: none.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Altornay of acout has agreed to life in Experimer carried the willingfarm chains from the currently canding chains via a Chains and C</u>		
		<u></u>
	Matthew S Gart/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 3687

U.S. Patent and Trackmank.Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20100406

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPDP), Section 113.04, Substance of Interview Most be Made of Record
A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, wideo conference, or belighbore interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the
application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete witten statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135 (35.U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR 51.2 Business to be transacted in writing
All business with the Patient or Trademark Office should be baseded in writing the presonal allendance of appacants or their altimates or agents at the Patient and
Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patient and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to
any allegor or or promes, sput Judico, or understanding in relation to which there is designeement of doubt.

_

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the alternary or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the altitioney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or level will do so. It as the examiner indicates he or level will do so. It as the examiner is responsibility to expect the substance of another interview control to the question of patenticately.

Examiners must consiste an interview Summer Form for each interview but where a matter of substance has been discussed during the

interview by checking the appropriate boses and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedure imatters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview reconstitions in otherwise procedured for in Section 512 of the Mexical of Straint Examining Trivicedus, or printing out typographical errors or unreadable sopit in Office actions or the life, are excluded from the interview reconstition procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is competible year. Section 5 and 5 and

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the 'Contents' section of the file resport. In a personal interview, a deplaced of the Form or spent to the applicant or storinger or against correspondent or storinger or against the constitution of the Interview. The case of a betephone or video-conference selective, the copy is made to the applicant's correspondents address contractive of the case of the case of a betephone or video-conference selective. The copy is made to the applicant's correspondents address contractives disclosed, the Form should be made promptly after the selective relative from the three of the file of the file of the contractive of contractive or contractive or contractive or contractive or contractive or contractive. The file of the file of the contractive or contractive o

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Senes Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
 Name of examiner
- Name of examiner
 Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowablity is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contain.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)
- It is destrable that the examiner only remind the applicant of the or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or a supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, and of the applicable items required terms required.
 - A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
 - 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
 - 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
 - an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
 - an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner
 - a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not
 - (The institutions) of a gluments is easier loss of the department of the general nature or through detailed description or the arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application (iii. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
 - a general indication of any other perfinent malters discussed, andfi appropriate, the general results or outcome of the intensiew unless already described in the intensiew Summary Form completed by the examiner
- the examiner.

 Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paner recording the substance of the interview alone with the date and the examiner's initials.